

Members present:	Kevin McCormick	Christopher A. Rucho
	Steven Quist	John O'Brien
Members absent:	Allen Phillips	

Mr. McCormick convened the meeting at 7:00 p.m.

**Review Of Articles For October 18, 2010 Semi-Annual Town Meeting**

Mr. Gaumond announced that the order of the articles is not in its final format.

**Article 1 - Authorization to Hear the Reports of Officers and Committees of the Town**

Motion Mr. Rucho to include Article 1 on the warrant, seconded by Mr. Quist, all in favor.

**Article 2 – Creation of a ‘Citizens Soldier Bill’**

Motion Mr. Rucho to include Article 2 on the warrant, seconded by Mr. Quist. This was suggested by a citizen of town and asks the Town to consider requesting that the state pass a special act of the legislature to allow tax abatements to members of the National Guard. Mr. McCormick questioned what happened with the Board of Assessors. Mr. Gaumond advised that Assessors have had a lot more discussion on the issue. He is not sure the comfort level is at 100%, however, we have enough to go on. Mr. Rucho asked if they are going to town meeting against it or for it. Mr. Gaumond does not have that answer. The question is the cost and we would be the third town in the state to pass the Bill. Mr. Gaumond thinks they could establish a process which could work for them. Bonnie Yasick, Treasurer/Tax Collector, who is in the audience this evening noted that it is an abatement and the cost will be spread amongst the taxpayers. Mr. Gaumond added that the article was on the warrant in May and due to concerns over administration and costs it was moved to October. The Board previously voted to include it on the town meeting warrant. Mr. Gaumond pointed out that there are no citizen petitions on the warrant and this article would be presented by the Board of Selectmen. Vote on the motion, all in favor.

**Article 3 – Authorization to Amend the Bylaws to Allow a Municipal Employee to Serve on the Personnel Board**

This article, and the next two articles, are requested by several employees of the Town who were charged with reviewing work conditions in the Town to ensure ‘fairness’ among all employee sets. This began as a result of discussions around the time of the raising of health insurance contribution rates. This article allows a non-union employee to serve on the Personnel Board as a voting member.

Motion Mr. Rucho to include Article 3 on the warrant, seconded by Mr. Quist, all in favor.

**Article 4 - Authorization to Amend the Personnel Bylaws**

This article would give the non-union municipal employees 4 days of compassionate leave for immediate family members who have deceased. Mr. Rucho recommends having the exact language on who is covered under ‘immediate’ ready for town meeting.

Motion Mr. Rucho to include Article 4 on the warrant, seconded by Mr. O'Brien, all in favor.

**Article 5 – Authorization to Amend the Personnel Bylaws**

This article would add the day after Thanksgiving to the list of holidays for non-union employees. Mr. Rucho questioned who gets that day off now. Mr. Gaumond does not believe any municipally employee on the town's side does and it may be the school employees. He noted that if

this passed it may give this benefit to some of the union groups who tie back to the Personnel Policy. Mr. Rucho is unaware of any unions or non-unions who have that day off. Mr. O'Brien does not feel it is the appropriate time to add holidays that most people do not get off and is opposed to this article.

Christine Mazeika, Administrative Assistant in the Police Department, joined the Board. She worked on the Benefit Study Group and compared the non-union employees in the town with the School Secretary contract. She and the Group met with Mr. McCormick and Mr. Gaumond about benefits. As most of the employees take the day off after Thanksgiving the Group felt this would be an easy way to ease into it. The benefit study showed that the School Department Secretaries get 14 holidays and we get 11, they get 15 sick days a year, we get 12. They also have sick leave buy back upon retirement which some of the town's unions have. In addition they have longevity.

Ms. Mazeika explained that when the health insurance premiums increased a Grade 4 position in the town was making \$800 less per year. You are making \$6.00 more than you made in 2008. The Group thought that an extra day would help even it out and make people feel a little better. The only unions who get this day off are the school. Ms. Mazeika noted that typically employees use a personal day for the day after Thanksgiving and the Police Department union gets 4 personal days as opposed to the non-union who get three.

Mr. Rucho thinks we could look at this for the future, however, if we start this it will open up a door. Mr. Quist thanked Ms. Mazeika for doing her work. Mr. Mazeika stated that it was kind of an eye opener and maybe the proposal should have been for an additional personal day rather than the day after Thanksgiving. Mr. Rucho would like to review the comparisons for all the groups. Mr. McCormick questioned how many employees this would cover. Mr. Gaumond estimates that number to be about 24 people. Mr. O'Brien agrees with Mr. Quist that it is good information and something to think about going forward. He is concerned about increasing benefits because health insurance has gone up and trying to compensate by extra time off would be a bad precedent. He also agrees it would be nicer if there was one set of rules. He does not think that the taxpayers would see it as a wise move. Mr. Quist thinks this should be a future agenda discussion so it does not get dropped.

Motion Mr. Quist to pass over Article 5, seconded by Mr. Rucho.

Mr. McCormick suggested asking the School Department why they are giving so many benefit days. He noted that the School Department Secretaries get the same holidays as non-union plus a half a day before Thanksgiving, the day after Thanksgiving, the day before Christmas and the day before New Years and Good Friday, and most people do not get those benefits. Ms. Mazeika added that they also get longevity. She will provide the Board with her spreadsheet comparing all the groups and noted the reason she picked Secretarial was because we are in the same level although a lot of the town's Grade 4 positions have more responsibility than that of the school's secretarial positions. Bonnie Yasick, also a member of the Benefit Study Group, stated that this is not a bash on the School Department. It began because of the drastic health insurance percentage changes for municipal non-union employees. She spoke with Mr. Gaumond and he said there should be a study. They reviewed all the contracts and it states facts and shows what every department receives. It was put together as a Study Group to give you the information to keep us all on the same page. It was not school versus town employees.

Mr. Gaumond will schedule this for October or November before the end of the calendar year and invite the employee group in. Vote on the motion – all in favor.

#### Article 6 – Authorization to Amend the General Bylaws – Demolition Delay Bylaw

This article was recommended by the Historical Commission to provide for a mechanism to delay the demolition of any historical structures within the town. The Board previously voted to include this on this warrant.

**Article 7 – Authorization to Amend the General Bylaws – Right To Farm**

This article was recommended by the Agricultural Commission to provide a right-to-farm bylaw for the town. The Board previously voted to include this on this warrant.

**Article 8 – Authorization to Amend the Zoning Bylaws – Residential Cluster Bylaw**

This article was recommended by the Planning Board and amends the zoning bylaws to allow for cluster zoning in certain areas. The Board previously voted to include this on this warrant.

**Article 9 - Authorization to Expend Funds for the Purchase of Lights at Goodale Park (Roughly \$70,000)**

Pat Crowley and John Owanisian of the Parks Facilities Committee joined the Board. They are requesting an additional \$70,000 to cover the installation of the lights and poles at Goodale Park by the newly renovated section of the park. Mr. Crowley reports that half of the outstanding receipts have been collected, the ledge change order of \$500 never happened, the Municipal Light Plant bill came in at \$8,500, and they still have the \$5,000 paving change order. The total comes to \$28,750 and Sue and Tim Gauthier have applied for a \$50,000 grant from the US Tennis Association Fund. If the grant comes through between the grant and the Buy a Brick Fundraiser, they will not need the \$70,000. Right now they have no certified funds that can go towards the project.

Mr. Rucho questioned where the \$70,000 will come from. Mr. Gaumond stated that he still has to get Free Cash certified and a number from the Department of Revenue. The funds could come from Stabilization or Free Cash. The other options are to take it from various line items in the town budget. He has no plan at this time on how to pay for this. He would have a plan by the time of town meeting. We do have Stabilization Funds to cover the cost.

Motion Mr. O'Brien to include Article 9 on the warrant and he hopes we do not have to use Stabilization, seconded by Mr. Rucho who echoed Mr. O'Brien's comment. Mr. Crowley stated that it is the Parks Facilities Committee intention not to use the \$70,000, however, worst case scenario, we will need \$70,000. Mr. Owanisian noted that the \$70,000 is a very conservative figure and it is unlikely we will need that. They are hopeful that the grant will go through.

Mr. Crowley explained that the job was bid in six separate phases and the estimates for the job came in at \$668,000. The lowest bid was higher than anyone anticipated by \$50,000, and the project came before the Selectmen knowing that the job did not include the lights and we would go to town meeting. Mr. Rucho suggested bidding it out again and trying to get the figure lowered. Mr. Crowley advised that we want to have the same contractor do the job start to finish and have one contractor own the job. Mr. Gaumond stated that in the event the Selectmen agree to this he asked for a sunset clause on the funds after a certain date the funds not expended will be returned. Mr. Rucho feels every money article should have a sunset clause. Mr. Owanisian indicated that he had no issue with that request.

Motion Mr. O'Brien to amend the motion to include a sunset clause of one year to expend the funds, seconded by Mr. Rucho.

Mr. McCormick stated that he also wants to see the projects completed. However, he had Mrs. Lucier review the meeting minutes when the project was first brought in front of the Selectmen as he remembered saying he would support it only if they never came back and asked for money from the town budget and now you are coming back asking for money, which is what the Parks Facility Committee promised not to do.

Vote on the amended motion – all in favor.

**Article 10 - Authorization to Amend the General Bylaws – Littering Bylaw**

Last week this article was discussed by the Board of Selectmen, however, not voted to be included on the warrant. The Board requested language that the penalty should also include the removal of the offending trash. When we were discussing pay-as-you-throw, this is one of the things that was a recommendation from DEP. If the Board has a concern with the proposed bylaw or feels it gives us more headaches, Mr. Gaumond is fine with not including it on the warrant. Mr. Rucho feels there are already laws in place if people litter, and his preference is to have the police fine people. He added that another bylaw for something that is already law is foolish.

Mr. McCormick noted that if we do the non-criminal disposition, then we have to send a police officer to court. Those funds do not go back in the Police Department budget as they flow to the General Fund.

Motion Mr. Quist to include Article 10 on the warrant, seconded by Mr. O'Brien. Vote on the motion – all members opposed.

**Article 11 – Authorization to Expend Funds for the Purchase of a New Police Cruiser (Roughly \$33,000)**

This article will replace a vehicle with nearly 140,000 miles and transmission problems. Approval of this article would put us back on our regular schedule for replacement vehicles. Mr. Rucho questioned if the funds come from the Capital Investment Fund. Mr. Gaumond advised that the Capital Investment Board views a police cruiser as a non-capital item as it is a consumable you go through. In the future it will be included in the budget as a line item. Mr. McCormick questioned whether the Selectmen need to modify the parameters of the Capital Investment Board. He feels that everything brought to them does not get anywhere and recalled the attempted lawn mower acquisition. Mr. Gaumond suggested inviting the Capital Investment Board in to review the rules and regulations which govern them. Prior to the Cruiser Leasing Program in the past, we sometimes needed to purchase a couple of cruisers in one year. Mr. Rucho noted that the plan was to include funds in the Capital Investment Fund for these items.

Motion Mr. Quist to include Article 11 on the warrant, seconded by Mr. Rucho, all in favor.

**Article 12 - Authorization to Expend Funds for the Purchase of an Online GIS Service (Roughly \$10,000)**

Mr. Quist and Mr. Gaumond saw a demo of a product which would give employees and citizens the tools to pull accurate information off of our assessors and other databases in the town. You can obtain information on such things as power lines, water lines and all layers of departmental information. It makes data much more usable to our citizens and we have been looking at this project as a joint project with the Water District or doing something on our own and inputting the other information. If we partner with the Water District we might get it at a reduced cost. Mr. Quist highly recommends this service which he feels is a good tool for somebody who wants to come in and start a business. All the information can be accessed via the town's website and it should make things easier for municipal employees and citizens.

Mr. Rucho questioned who updates the information. Mr. Gaumond explained that there are already data basis which exist and information would be pulled from them. Our data bases would feed the GIS system. There would be an annual cost of a couple thousand dollars each year. Mr. Rucho is not sure it is good time to add another \$2,000 to the budget. Mr. Gaumond intends to get three quotes. Mr. McCormick feels the financial times are going to get better some time and he recommends going forward. It would give a potential developer all the information without the need to run around to all

the different departments. Mr. Gaumond noted the list of communities who have this service and he noted that the Water District also has it. Mr. Rucho asked if the Water District is paying \$2,000 per year, does the town also have to pay \$2,000 per year, or can we go onto the Water District's system.

Mr. Gaumond explained that the Water District is working with People GIS and the quote we have would be a much reduced cost to the town. It is also not fair to the other companies to say this is who we are going with. Mr. Rucho would like to get a lot more information and put this off.

Motion Mr. Quist to add Article 12 to the warrant, seconded by Mr. O'Brien. Vote on the motion- Messrs. McCormick, Quist and O'Brien yes; Mr. Rucho no.

Article 13 - Authorization to Transfer Funds to the Unemployment Trust Fund

Mr. Gaumond asked that this not be included on the October Town Meeting warrant.

Motion Mr. Rucho not to include Article 13 on the warrant, seconded by Mr. Quist, all in favor.

Article 14 - Authorization to Expend Funds for the Purchase of a Computer System for the Woodland Street Pumping Station

This article was a request of the Sewer Department. In the Sewer Agreement with the Town of Holden for the maintenance and upkeep of the Woodland Street Pump Station, the agreement requires the town to put aside monies each year for repairs. Town Counsel and Finance Director Michael Daley have reviewed the Agreement and under this article we will ask for a small capital fund to be established under the bigger fund to put aside funds in case something comes up and we won't have to call a Special Town Meeting to spend the funds. This will allow us to spend monies from this. This came to light when the Town needed to replace a computer monitoring system at Woodland and even though money is set aside for this purpose, there was no appropriation vote to do so. This will become an annual article every May.

Mr. McCormick suggested a consistent authorization. Mr. Gaumond noted that you cannot bind future town meetings, and offered to speak with Town Counsel on that.

Motion Mr. O'Brien to include Article 14 on the warrant, seconded by Mr. Rucho, all in favor.

Article 15 Authorization to Amend the Personnel Bylaw so as to Create the Position of Administrator/Inspector for the Board of Health

This article would create a new position in the Personnel Bylaws. It was passed over back in May to see if we were going to move forward with any of the wage and classification changes. The Board of Selectmen did not want to move ahead with anything and the Board of Health would like to move forward with this. It is not a new hire for the town and the Board of Health has made a case that the secretary position is not graded properly. Mr. Gaumond will send the information to the Personnel Board. Mr. Rucho questioned the cost and Mr. Gaumond advised it is \$1,000.

Motion Mr. Quist to include Article 15 on the warrant, seconded by Mr. Rucho, all in favor.

Article 16 – Authorization to Reclassify Board of Health Secretary to Administrator/Inspector for the Board of Health

This article would reclassify a current employee in the Board of Health.

Motion Mr. Quist to include Article 16 on the warrant, seconded by Mr. Rucho, all in favor.

Article 17 - Authorization to Amend the General Bylaws – Quorum for Town Meeting

This is a request of the Town Administrator to ask the Selectmen to consider changing the

quorum necessary for opening and continuing a Town Meeting. When we had to reschedule Town Meeting last year due to lack of a quorum Mr. Gaumond put a note in his file. He is recommending a quorum of one, which will get more people to come out for town meeting. Mr. Phillips has expressed his displeasure with including this article on the warrant.

Mr. Rucho pointed out the fact that we have discussed this in the past and lowered it. Mr. Gaumond remembers a request several years ago to lower it to a very low number. Mr. McCormick recommends including it on the warrant every year as it increases the number of attendees at town meeting. Mr. Rucho believes the Water District has a quorum of five and only five people show up at the meeting.

Motion Mr. Quist to include Article 17 on the warrant, seconded by Mr. McCormick. Vote on the motion – Mr. McCormick yes; Messrs. Quist, Rucho and O'Brien no. Mr. Rucho stated that he would like to see a citizen petition to change this.

Article 18 – Authorization to Amend the Zoning Bylaws – Need for Selectmen to Agree to Construction Trailers

This article is a result of a request from several months ago by the Board when the Franklin Street developers request permission from the Board to place a construction trailer on their property. The Selectmen mentioned they did not wish to be part of the process and recommended the Building Inspector have this responsibility.

Motion Mr. Quist to include Article 18 on the warrant, seconded by Mr. O'Brien, all in favor.

Article 19– Authorization to Transfer Unexpended Funds from Fiscal Year 2011 Appropriations and Other Necessary Transfers to the Fiscal Year 2011 Appropriations

This is an annual article to correct budget problems in the current fiscal year.

Motion Mr. Rucho to include Article 19 on the warrant, seconded by Mr. O'Brien, all in favor.

Article 20 - Authorization to Transfer Money from the Sewer Enterprise (\$27,963.96)

This article continues the practice by transferring money from the sewer enterprise account to the Stabilization Fund to 'repay' the stabilization fund for its contribution of start-up funds to begin the sewer construction project.

Motion Mr. Quist to include Article 20 on the warrant, seconded by Mr. Rucho, all in favor.

Article 21 - Authorization to Transfer Funds to the Stabilization Fund

Motion Mr. Quist to include Article 21 on the warrant, seconded by Mr. Rucho, all in favor.

Article 22 – Authorization to Transfer Funds to the Capital Investment Fund

Motion Mr. Quist to include Article 22 on the warrant, seconded by Mr. Rucho, all in favor.

Article 23 - Authorization to Amend the General Bylaws – Affordable Housing Trust

We created the Affordable Housing Trust and we also have a Housing Partnership. One of the recommendations we are getting for improving our houses processes is having multiple boards on housing does not make any sense. They are going to recommend that the members of the Affordable Housing Trust be members of the Housing Partnership, which will streamline the committee.

Motion Mr. Rucho to include Article 23 on the warrant, seconded by Mr. Quist, all in favor.

**Article 24 – Community Preservation Fund Projects**

There are three proposed projects as recommended by the CPC. The approved projects are: \$25,000 for replacement of roof at the West Boylston Housing Authority; \$13,000 for the conservation of the Beaman section of the Mt. Vernon Cemetery; and \$140,000 for the acquisition of a parcel of land on Lee Street. This is a request of the Water District and the Open Space Committee in cooperation with the Greater Worcester Land Trust to protect the Town's drinking supply and to provide limited recreational opportunities.

Motion Mr. Rucho to include Article 24 on the warrant, seconded by Mr. Quist.

Mr. O'Brien would like to discuss the \$25,000 for the roof repair and questions if it is an appropriate use of the CPA funds. Mr. Gaumond explained that the CPA funds can be used for support of affordable housing and Town Counsel has written an opinion that this supports affordable housing units within the town and is an allowable project and a prudent use. Mr. O'Brien questions the long-term plan for the roofs so that it is not just a CPA fund expenditure. Mr. McCormick questioned how the Housing Authority performed maintenance before the CPA. Mr. Rucho noted that they do not do a lot of maintenance. Mr. McCormick questioned what their plan would be if there were no CPA funds, and if the fund was no long available how would they maintain their building. Mr. O'Brien feels this is regular maintenance.

Mr. Rucho, who serves on the CPC, reported that this project was presented by the CPC, they are all good questions and the CPC had these same questions. It is Mr. O'Brien's opinion that when the town voted to approve CPA funds it was not their opinion that it was for maintenance and he does not think this has a reasonable support base. He is fine with the second and third project, however, not with the roof. Mr. Gaumond is not sure if the CPA article is voted on by the Selectmen. Mr. McCormick pointed out that the Selectmen set the warrant. Mr. Rucho believes the law says the towns' people have to vote on this. With regard to the Kent property on Lee Street, Mr. McCormick remembers a project being proposed for the site and then it didn't happen and now they are selling it to the town.

Mr. Rucho feels the article should go on because it is the towns' people. Mr. O'Brien noted that the law also says the Selectmen set the warrant. Mr. Gaumond is under the assumption that the articles goes on. The balance in the fund for Open Space is \$83,651 and they proposing using \$70,000; Community Housing is \$79,651 and they propose using \$25,000; Historic Preservation is \$42,901 and they propose using \$13,000; and undesignated is \$23,729.

Mr. Gaumond left the meeting to research the law.

**MEETING REMINDERS**

1. September 28, 7:00 p.m., SWOT Exercise on housing processes in West Boylston
2. September 29, 7:00 p.m., Media Center, Middle/High School joint meeting with School Committee to fill vacancy on School Committee
3. October 4, 7:00 p.m., board retreat
4. Mr. Rucho noted that the Beaman Oak Garden Club will hold a Taste of West Boylston on Sunday, September 26<sup>th</sup> from 5-8:00 at The Manor, \$20.00 at the door, silent auction and unlimited samples of beer, wine and food with proceeds benefiting the Beaman Oak Garden Club.

**Review & Approve Town Meeting Mailer**

Motion Mr. Rucho to approve the mailer as submitted, seconded by Mr. O'Brien, all in favor. Mr. Rucho suggested including information on single-stream recycling. It was noted that the trash/recycling calendar will be mailed out shortly.

**Continued Discussion on Article 24**

Mr. Rucho pointed out the fact that the CPC acts on the applications it receives. Michael Kane, of The Banner, who is in the audience this evening, stated that the CPC initially turned down the Housing Authority request and the Housing Authority went to Town Counsel who said you could use the funds for that purpose. Once that had that information they brought it forth to the CPC. Mr. O'Brien questioned who could say no other than town meeting. To him, it seems like anybody can apply and the use of funds will go to town meeting if it fits the criteria. Mr. Rucho noted that the CPC has been wanting someone to come forward with a housing project.

Mr. McCormick recommends letting the funds build up to do a large project. He questions what is the overall plan to do with the money. He also questions the \$70,000 borrowing for the purchase of the Kent property on Lee Street as not only is the money not building up we are spending it before we even have it. Mr. O'Brien voiced concern that the fund could change and we could end up owing money on it. Mr. McCormick does not think we should spend money we do not have in the CPA. He also does not believe that people in town knew where the \$118,000 was coming from for the park project. Bonnie Yasick advised that it will be paid back with the 2012 CPA money.

Mr. Gaumond returned to the meeting and informed the Board that he still does not know whether or not the Selectmen are compelled to include the CPC recommended article on the warrant. Under MGL Chapter 39, Section 10 it says that the warrant of town meeting comes under the hands of the Board of Selectmen. Under Chapter 44B, Section 5(d) it says after receiving such recommendations from the CPC, the legislative body shall then take such action and approve such appropriation from the community preservation funds as set forth in Section 8 and such additional appropriations as it deems appropriate to carry out the recommendations for the CPC. Section 7 says, notwithstanding the provisions of Section 53 of Chapter 44 or any other general or special law to the contrary, a city or town that accepts Sections 3 to 7, shall establish a separate account to be known as the Community Preservation Fund of which the municipal treasurer shall be the custodian. The authority to approve expenditures from the fund shall be limited to the legislative body and municipal treasurer shall pay such expenses. There is a DOR Advisory that says the CPC recommendations must be included on the annual appropriation article.

Mr. Gaumond recommends making a motion to exclude the article on the warrant and in the event he is wrong he will include it. Mr. Rucho stated that this is why people do not want to get on boards. At one time the CPC asked if they should go with a citizen petition on these projects and the Selectmen said no. Mr. Gaumond informed the Board that he called the Chairman of the CPC and he said he also indicated that he had asked that same question, never got an answer, and he came up with the same recommendation. The Selectmen can vote no and if we find out it can be included then the Committee can go with citizen petitions every time. Mr. Gaumond feels it is not clear in the law.

Mr. Rucho stated that the CPC wants it to go to town meeting. Mr. O'Brien is concerned that the CPC does not make a recommendation, they just say whether it is legal or not, even if it is not a good idea. He thinks there is a lot of misunderstanding as to the responsibilities of the CPC and is concerned we are borrowing money going into the future and we do not know if the CPA will be ended before the money is paid. He would approve the article on the condition that the Selectmen meet with the CPC prior to town meeting. Mr. Rucho stated that the CPC is not asking to borrow the money they are asking town meeting. Mr. O'Brien voice frustration that there are no long-term plans, and the CPC makes no recommendation other than if the funds can legally be spent for the project. Mr. Rucho suggested asking the three groups what the long-term plan is. Mr. McCormick noted that in the past the CPC stood up at town meeting and said we recommend passage of the expenditures. Mr. Rucho thinks the Selectmen should meet with the Chairman of the CPC.

Mr. Gaumond noted that if there is an outstanding CPA loan even if the town's people overturn the CPA that loan is still an obligation to the town. Mr. Rucho feels the CPA would have to remain



active to cover the outstanding loan. Mr. Gaumond noted that the role of the CPC is to make recommendations after consulting with existing municipal boards. They should make recommendations to the legislative body and may include in their recommendation to the legislative body a restriction to set aside for later spending funds for specific purposes that are consistent with community preservation but for which sufficient revenues are not then available in the Community Preservation Fund to accomplish that specific purpose or to set aside for later spending funds for general purposes that are consistent with community preservation. Mr. Gaumond questioned whether the CPC ever denied a request. Mr. Rucho advised that the roof request was denied as they didn't think it was legal, however, once they found out it was legal they included it for the warrant. Mr. Gaumond feels the CPC should be the gatekeeper of the funds.

Vote on the motion to include the article on the warrant, all in favor.

With no further business to come before the Board, motion Mr. Quist at 9:15 p.m. to adjourn, seconded by Mr. O'Brien, all in favor.

Respectfully submitted,

---

Nancy E. Lucier

Approved: October 6, 2010

---

Kevin M. McCormick, Chairman

---

Steven J. Quist, Clerk

---

Christopher A. Rucho, Selectman

---

John J. O'Brien, Selectman